**Lower Oddington : Bollards Consultation Jan 2023**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Location** | **Bollards** | **Comments** |
| **High Street** | **No objection** | People who are not customers of the Fox parking there. Arbitrary just to designate one area for bollarding off. Seems misguided to assume that the Fox providing a car park will solve the issue.  The bollarding will increase the traffic pressure. Strongly oppose car park opposite Oddington Lodge.  A car park solution acceptable to all should be identified before the issue is exacerbated by proposals like this? |
| **Sawpits** | **Yes by Sawpitts only** | For those affected to comment. Parking problem on the High Street is a cause of major inconvenience, the parking problem exiting Sawpitts Lane will be the eventual cause of a major accident. The only solution would be to have at least three bollards on either side of the exit so that vehicles exiting the lane can see on-coming vehicles and vice versa. |
| **High street**  **narrows** | **Yes** | If allowed within the specific Oddington Conservation Area, this is an excellent idea. |
| **High Street** | **No** | Installing bollards, apart from the bend by the Fox, will only move the problem not solve it. Bollards on the "bend" would solve the issue of dangerous pavement parking there and provide safe access to the village for emergency services which currently would at times have huge problems navigating the road. Car park seems to be the only logical solution. An alternative would be a resident parking scheme but difficult to implement and police at the times that are the biggest problems. |
| **Church Road** | **No** | Don’t support the proposal to install bollards. Will prevent pavement parking in Lower Oddington, which is good. Cars would still park around The Fox, just further into the road arguably making the situation more dangerous. There are not sufficient funds to pay for all the bollards. It will increase the amount of street clutter. We really need is a car park on the road into the village. |
| **High Street**  **narrows** | **No** | It does not solve the problem only displaces it. Frustrates residents parking themselves and if they do park outside their property then their cars will be further in to the road, narrowing the highway and increasing the risk of scraping damage. It does not stop people parking but only from parking partly on the pavement. I have seen that the flower tubs that prevent pavement parking on the corner by the Fox still get parking fully on the road, in fact making the problem worse. It represents “creeping urbanisation” in a rural village. The cost is too high. |
| **High Street narrows** | **Yes** | Very much in favour. The traffic situation at our end of the village has become extremely difficult and at times dangerous. Significant advantage if they were just installed in the stretch of road in front of Forge and Sunnyside where double-parking is the greatest menace. |
| **High Street**  **narrows** | **Yes** | Disgraceful that the village has had to consider this monumental step because of the arrogance and or stupidity of simply not having built a car park. This issue was fairly easy to foresee without a degree in astrophysics. This should never have happened and has changed Oddington for the worse forever, simply in pursuit of financial reward. This is nothing short of cultural vandalism on an historic Cotswold village. |
| **High Street**  **narrows** | **Yes, but only at Sawpitts lane junction** | Cars parked on the bend by the Fox obstruct vision for drivers coming from the village hall direction and they have to be on the wrong side of the road without being able to see if there are any oncoming cars. The bollards would not help this problem as cars would still park in the road. Also cars would continue to park on both sides of the road near the Green and it is often such a narrow space that an emergency vehicle could not get through.  If bollards were in place the cars could still park on the road up to Odd House but would make the gap even narrower. However if the bollards stopped cars parking on that side of the road they could help to make it easier for cars to drive through. Bollards at the end of Sawpitts lane  are essential to  prevent cars from parking at the junction with the main road into the village. This is critical for vision for cars exiting from Sawpitts lane. Both there, and on the bend, the lack of vision  must be addressed as a matter of urgency. |
| **High Street**  **narrows** | **No** | Bollards are not the solution. Highways may see it as a way to keep the pavement free but cars will still park on the road. This will then be so narrow that fire engines, ambulances etc will not have access.At the top of Church Road the width is 17' narrowing to 12' outside Forge Barn and Foden Cottage. A normal sized car is 7' wide and would lose wing mirrors etc. The only solution is for Daylesford to provide parking on their land or over the A436 at Gable End. |
| **High Street** | **In part** | No bollards in front of Fox. |
| **High Street** | **Yes** | Pavements are for pedestrians not cars, vans, and lorries, therefore it is clear we must encourage the installation of the bollards. We must also press ahead with the provision of resident parking bays because the bollards will make it even more difficult for residents to park near their homes.Hopefully these measures will encourage Daylesfords to provide a car park for their customers, for them to say that one objection will stop them from providing  a car park sounds is a very feeble excuse. |
| **High Street**  **narrows** | **Yes** | I'm wholly in favour of the bollard proposal and support the proposal as shown on the plan attached to your letter. I understand that discussions are ongoing with representatives of Daylesford and Lady Bamford on this issue and that they will want to know that the village supports any planning proposal they put forward. It would be helpful if they were to bring forward proposals for us to see. If one such were to create a car park in the field on the opposite side of the road from the pub, I would certainly support that. This would seem to be the most appropriate solution. |
| **High Street**  **narrows** | **Yes** | We are all for installing bollards in front of our cottage. We would be able to walk on the pavement again, at the moment we have to walk in the road. |
| **High Street** | **Yes** | Express our strong support for the scheme. Although I accept that the appearance of the village will be altered by installing such bollards, it can only improve the current parking chaos we have to endure on a daily basis. Since the Fox has reopened last summer the sheer volume of vehicles has increased considerably. We counted the cars on 1st January 2023 - 80 (!!) parked cars from the post box in Lower Oddington right down the main road. Although being in favour of the bollards as the first resort, I still do think the only way to resolve this parking chaos is to put a Residents Parking Permit scheme in place. |
| **High Street**  **narrows** | **No** | This weekend, as with most, our driveway was blocked by a Range Rover, and our neighbour had someone simply park in their own offroad park. Bollards are not the solution. The extra 50 cars coming into the village still have to park somewhere.  And, the bollards will just penalise residents who will no longer be able to park outside their houses.  In addition, the bollards will not work if they are not extended beyond Church Road to the end of the row of cottages.   Pushing cars further down the street will simply exacerbate that problem unless the bollards are extended. Cars will park in Church Road.  My understanding is these residents have not been part of this consultation, and yet they will be directly impacted.  I do appreciate that a Traffic Regulation Order will be required for a permanent solution, but this must be pursued with all vigour.  The only solution is to have a residents parking restriction. |
| **High Street**  **narrows** | **No** | Bollards will probably force parking to become a problem in other parts of Oddington raising objections from a new cohort of residents. Presumably there will be no objection to cars parking outside the bollard line and encroaching onto the carriageway. This will restrict the use of the road for delivery lorries and vans, and emergency vehicles. Bollards will not allow any parking between Foden Lodge and Church Lane owing to the reduced road width. The danger of serious accidents on the bend outside the Fox will be increased. At some point someone is going to try and park on the green or the drive to the Old Rectory and that will open the floodgates for others to follow.  The only real solution is to have off-street parking somewhere on Bamford’s land opposite. Patrons are already walking from as far away as the A436 and almost from the village hall, and so would not be walking any further from a car park if it were to be provided. it would relieve some of the tension.  Even if an off-street carpark is provided, the first choice for patrons will be the road near the pub. Is it intended to replace the bollards with yellow lines even though the lead-time is 2 years?  The Daylesford business has given us damaged footpaths and curbs in the village, damaged road margins on the approaches to the village past Oddington Lodge and to Daylesford Lane. The extension of Church Lane from St Nicholas Church to the farm track corner is only used by Daylesford vehicles and is being broken up to the point of being dangerous to pedestrians. Daylesford should morally accept responsibility for the repairs, regardless of who owns the lane. We even seem to have lost the one and only litter bin in Lower Oddington from outside the Fox kitchen entrance and it needs replacing. |
| **High Street** | **Yes but** | We support your efforts to keep cars off our pavements in Lower Oddington from the area shown on your map. However if cars park on the road when the bollards are in in place, will it still allow large cars, delivery vehicles and, most importantly, urgent Response Vehicles , i.e. fire engines and ambulances, room to pass.  Daylesford own many acres through the blue gates opposite The Fox. They have recently applied for tennis courts to be built so why not a car park. Thirty to fifty cars off road would help immensely. They should consider disabled parking spaces nearby. I speak to a number of visitors to The Fox who think the on street parking is abysmal. |